20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1 2 3 4 5 CLAUDIA STEMPIEN, et al., 6 Plaintiffs, 7 v. 8 ELI LILLY AND COMPANY and MCKESSON CORPORATION, 9 Defendants. 10 11 12 BRADLEY ARNOLD, et al., 13 Plaintiffs, 14 v. 15 ELI LILLY AND COMPANY and 16 17 18 19

NO. C06-1811 TEH

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO RELATE CASE AND ORDER STAYING CASE NO. C06-7135

NO. C06-7135 SI

MCKESSON CORPORATION,

Defendants.

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Eli Lilly and Company's motion to relate Arnold, et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company, et al., Case No. 06-7135 SI, to this case. Neither set of plaintiffs objected to Eli Lilly's motion, and the time for filing a response to the motion under Civil Local Rule 3-12 has now expired.

Having reviewed Eli Lilly's papers, the Court finds that the two cases meet the requirements for relation under Civil Local Rule 3-12, and Eli Lilly's motion to relate cases is therefore GRANTED. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12(f)(3), the Clerk shall reassign Arnold to the undersigned judge. Counsel are instructed that all future filings shall bear the initials "TEH" immediately after the case number.

Case 3:06-cv-01811-TEH Document 34 Filed 12/21/06 Page 2 of 2

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Arnold shall be STAYED, and the pending remand
motion in Arnold (Case No. 06-7135, docket no. 8) shall be VACATED, for the reasons set
Forth in this Court's May 4, 2006 order in <i>Stempien</i> (Case No. 06-1811, docket no. 26).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/20/06
THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE

THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT